arXiv:math/0112009v1 [math.QA] 1 Dec 2001

HYPERGEOMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF THE *qKZ* EQUATION AT LEVEL ZERO [*]

V. Tarasov

St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute
St. Petersburg 191011, Russia

Received 18 August 1999

We discuss relations between different integral formulae for solutions of the quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equation at level zero in the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ case for $|q| < 1$. Smirnov type formulae of M. Jimbo et al. are derived from the general approach of A. Varchenko and the author. The consideration is parallel to the qKZ equation in the rational $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case done by A. Nakayashiki, S. Pakuliak and the author.

1 Introduction

The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equation is a holonomic system of difference equations for a function taking values in a tensor product of representations of a Lie algebra or the corresponding quantum group. In this note we consider the qKZ equation associated with the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, see (2.1). We concentrate on the case of the qKZ equation at level zero, which means a particular relation between q and the step p of the equation: $p = q^4$. This type of the qKZ equation was inroduced by F. Smirnov [S] as equations for form factors in integrable models of quantum field theory.

We are interested in the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation. In the case in question such solutions were given by Smirnov and Jimbo et al., see [JKMO]. The general construction of the hypergeometric solutions has been developed by Varchenko and the author [TV]. It turns out that the general approach applied to the level zero case gives formulae which are similar to Smirnov type formulae, but do not coincide with them. On the other hand, all attempts to extend Smirnov type formulae to the case of arbitrary level failed, which indicates an intimate relation of these formulae to the special features of the level zero case.

The aim of the note is to derive Smirnov type formulae for the hypergeometric solutions, cf. (5.4) and (5.5), from the general type formula (5.1). In the rational $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case this has been done in [NPT]. Here, we consider the case $|p| < 1$. The case $|p| = 1$ has been recently studied by Y. Takeyama.

Almost all proofs in the case in question are nearly the same as in the rational case, see [NPT]. We also refer a reader to this paper for more detailed comments, motivations and explanations of the constructions. Completeness of the hypergeometric solutions in the rational case at level zero has been proved in [T]; in the case to be discussed it can be shown more or less similarly.

---

[*] Presented at the 8th Coloquium "Quantum groups and integrable systems", Prague 17–19 June 1999

Formula (5.1) for the hypergeometric solutions contains three main ingredients: rational functions w_M, an elliptic function W and the hypergeometric integral $I^{\otimes \ell}$, which pairs the functions. We describe the constituents subsequently in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5 we describe the hypergeometric solutions, cf. Theorem (5.2), and derive Smirnov type formulae for solutions.

2 The *qKZ* equation at level zero

Let $V = \mathbb{C}v_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}v_-$. Introduce matrices

$$\sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fix a complex number $q \neq 0, 1$. Let $R(z) \in \mathrm{End}\,(V^{\otimes 2})$ be the following R-matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} R(z) \,&=\, \tau^+ \otimes \tau^+ + \tau^- \otimes \tau^- + \frac{z-1}{qz-q^{-1}}\,(\tau^+ \otimes \tau^- + \tau^- \otimes \tau^+) \,+ \\ &\quad + \frac{q-q^{-1}}{qz-q^{-1}}\,(z\,\sigma^+ \otimes \sigma^- + \sigma^- \otimes \sigma^+)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Fix complex numbers $p \neq 0, 1$ and $\kappa \neq 0$. We consider the *qKZ* equation for a $V^{\otimes n}$-valued function $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z_1, \ldots, pz_j, \ldots, z_n) \,&=\, K_j(z_1, \ldots, z_n)\,\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_n)\,, \qquad (2.1) \\ K_j(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \,&=\, R_{j,j-1}(pz_j/z_{j-1}) \ldots R_{j,1}(pz_j/z_1) \,\times \\ &\quad \times\, (\tau_j^+ + \kappa \tau_j^-)\, R_{j,n}(z_j/z_n) \ldots R_{j,j+1}(z_j/z_{j+1})\,, \end{aligned}$$

$j = 1, \ldots, n$. The *level* of the *qKZ* equation is determined by $p = q^{2(level+2)}$.

For any ℓ such that $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n$ denote by $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ the weight subspace:

$$(V^{\otimes n})_\ell = \bigl\{ v \in V^{\otimes n} \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_j^- v = \ell v \bigr\}\,.$$

The *qKZ* equation (2.1) respects the weight decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$.

Consider the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with generators e, f, k and the coproduct

$$\Delta(e) = k^{-1} \otimes e + e \otimes 1\,, \qquad \Delta(f) = f \otimes k + 1 \otimes f\,, \qquad \Delta(k) = k \otimes k\,.$$

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ acts in V by the rule: $e \mapsto \sigma^+$, $f \mapsto \sigma^-$, $k \mapsto q\tau^+ + q^{-1}\tau^-$, and in $V^{\otimes n}$ according to the coproduct Δ. If $\kappa = q^{2\ell-2-n}$, then the *qKZ* equation preserves the subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{sing} \subset (V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-singular vectors:

$$(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{sing} = \bigl\{ v \in (V^{\otimes n})_\ell \mid ev = 0 \bigr\}\,.$$

In this note we consider the case of the *qKZ* equation at level zero, i.e. all over the paper we assume that $p = q^4$. Furthermore, fixing an integer ℓ such that $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n$ we discuss solutions of the *qKZ* equation (2.1) taking values in the weight subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$. We will pay special attention to the case $\kappa = q^{2\ell-2-n}$.

In this case we assume that $2\ell \leqslant n$ and consider solutions of the qKZ equation taking values in the subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{sing}$ of singular vectors.

We assume that $|p| < 1$. This is important for the analytic part of the story in Sections 4 and 5.

3 Rational functions

Let $M = \{m_1 < \ldots < m_\ell\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The subset defines a point $\hat{z}_M = (z_{m_1}, \ldots, z_{m_\ell}) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$. For subsets M, N we say that $M \leqslant N$ if $\#M = \#N$ and $m_a \leqslant n_a$ for any $a = 1, \ldots, \#M$.

Given a function $f(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$ we set

$$\operatorname{Asym} f(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_\ell} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) f(t_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, t_{\sigma_\ell}),$$

and for any point $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ we define

$$\operatorname{Res} f(u) \,=\, \operatorname{res}\bigl(\ldots \operatorname{res}\bigl(t_1^{-1} \ldots t_\ell^{-1} f(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)\bigr)\big|_{t_\ell = u_\ell} \ldots\bigr)\big|_{t_1 = u_1}.$$

For any $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $m \in M$ let $\mu_M^{(m)}$, g_M, w_M and $\tilde{w}_M$ be the following functions:

$$\mu_M^{(m)}(t) \,=\, \frac{t}{t - z_m} \prod_{\substack{j \in M \\ j \neq m}} \frac{t - q^2 z_j}{z_m - q^2 z_j}\,,$$

$$\tilde{w}(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \,= \operatorname{Asym}\Bigl(\prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \mu^{(m_a)}(t_a)\Bigr) \,=\, \det\bigl[\mu_M^{(m_a)}(t_b)\bigr]_{a,b=1}^{\ell},$$

$$g_M(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \,=\, \prod_{a=1}^{\ell}\Bigl(\frac{t_a}{t_a - z_{m_a}} \prod_{1 \leqslant j < m_a} \frac{q^{-1} t_a - q z_j}{t_a - z_j}\Bigr) \prod_{1 \leqslant a < b \leqslant \ell} (q^{-2} t_a - t_b)\,,$$

$$w_M \,=\, \operatorname{Asym} g_M\,.$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $M, N \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\#M = \#N$. *Then*

$$\operatorname{Res} \tilde{w}_M(\hat{z}_M) \,=\, 1\,, \qquad \operatorname{Res} w_M(\hat{z}_M) = \operatorname{Res} g_M(\hat{z}_M)\,,$$

$\operatorname{Res} \tilde{w}_M(\hat{z}_N) = 0$ *for* $M \neq N$ *and* $\operatorname{Res} w_M(\hat{z}_N) = 0$ *unless* $N \leqslant M$. *Moreover*

$$w_M \,=\, \sum_{N \leqslant M} \tilde{w}_M \operatorname{Res} w_M(\hat{z}_N)\,.$$

Lemma 3.2 *For any* $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\# M = \ell - 1$, *the following relation holds*:

$$(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \notin M} q^{2\lambda_M(k) - k}\, w_{M \cup \{k\}}(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) =$$

$$= \operatorname{Asym}\biggl(\Bigl(\prod_{a=2}^{\ell} (q^{-2} t_1 - t_a) - \prod_{a=2}^{\ell} (q^2 t_1 - t_a) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{-2} t_1 - z_j}{t_1 - z_j}\Bigr) g(t_2, \ldots, t_\ell)\biggr).$$

Here $\lambda_M(k) = \#\{m \in M \mid m < k\}$.

Let $M_{\text{ext}} = \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Say that M_{ext} is the *extremal* subset. Due to Lemma 3.1

$$w_{M_{\text{ext}}}(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) = \prod_{1 \leqslant a < b \leqslant \ell} \frac{(q^{-2} z_{m_a} - z_{m_b})(z_{m_a} - q^{-2} z_{m_b})}{q^{-1}(z_{m_a} - z_{m_b})}\, \tilde{w}_{M_{\text{ext}}}(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the space of functions $f(t)$ such that the product $f(t) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (t - z_j)$ is a Laurent polynomial in t. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$ the space of functions in k variables such that for each given variable these functions considered as functions of the distinguished variable belong to $\mathcal{F}$. Other tensor products of spaces of functions are to be understood similarly. It is clear that for any subset M of cardinality ℓ the functions $g_M, w_M, \tilde{w}_M$ belong to $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes \ell}$.

4 Elliptic functions and the hypergeometric integral

Let $(u)_\infty = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^s u)$ and let $\theta(u) = (u)_\infty (p/u)_\infty (p)_\infty$ be the Jacobi theta-function. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}}$ the space of functions $F(t)$ such that the product $F(t) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \theta(t/z_j)$ is holomorphic for $t \neq 0$.

Let $\alpha = \kappa q^{n - 2\ell + 2}$. Set $\mathcal{F}_{\!\mathit{ell}} = \{F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}} \mid F(pt) = \alpha F(t)\}$. For even n also set $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}} = \{F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}} \mid F(pt) - \alpha F(t) \in \mathbb{C}\,\Theta(t)\}$ where

$$\Theta(t) = t^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\theta(q^{-2} t/z_j)}{\theta(t/z_j)}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is easy to see that $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\!\mathit{ell}} = n$ and $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}} = n + 1$. Notice that $\Theta \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}}$.

Remark. In the general situation one needs only an analogue of the space $\mathcal{F}_{\!\mathit{ell}}$ of quasiperiodic functions, see [TV]. However, in the case in question it turns out that sometime the space $\mathcal{F}_{\!\mathit{ell}}$ is not enough to produce all, or even nonzero, hypergeometric solutions of the *qKZ* equation (2.1). This actually happens if $\alpha = q^{2k}$ for an integer k. The proposed extension $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\!\mathit{ell}}$ is adapted to the case $\alpha = 1$.

Let $\phi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(t/z_j)_\infty}{(q^{-2} t/z_j)_\infty}$ be the *phase function*. Introduce the sets: $\bigcup_s^{+} =$

$\{p^k q^2 z_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, n\,,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leqslant s}\}$ and $\bigcup_s^- = \{p^{-k} z_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, n\,,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leqslant s}\}$. The hypergeometric integral $I(f,F)$ is given by the formula

$$I(f,F) \,=\, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_C \phi\, f\, F\, \frac{dt}{t} \tag{4.2}$$

where C is a simple closed curve oriented counterclockwise and separating the sets $\bigcup_1^+$ and $\bigcup_1^-$. For functions in ℓ variables we write

$$I^{\otimes \ell}(w,W) \,=\, \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^\ell} \int\limits_{C^\ell} w(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)\, W(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \phi(t_a)\, \frac{dt_a}{t_a}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.1 *For any* $f \in \mathcal{F}$ *and* $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}$ *the hypergeometric integral* $I(f,F)$ *is well defined and does not depend on a particular choice of the contour* C.

Lemma 4.2 *Let* $f \in \mathcal{F}$. *If* $f(t) = O(t)$ *as* $t \to 0$, *then* $I(f,1) = 0$. *For even* n, *if* $f(t) = O(t^k)$ *as* $t \to \infty$, $2k \leqslant n$, *and* Θ *is given by formula* (4.1), *then* $I(f,\Theta) = 0$.

Denote by D the operator defined by

$$Df(t) \,=\, f(t) - \alpha\, f(pt) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{-2}t - z_j}{t - z_j}\,.$$

The functions of the form Df are called the *total differences*.

Proposition 4.3 *Let* $f \in D\mathcal{F}$ *or* $(f - Df) \in \mathcal{F}$.

a) *For any* $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathit{ell}}$ *we have that* $I(Df,F) = 0$.

b) *Let* n *be even, and* $f(t) = O(t^k)$ *as* $t \to \infty$, $2k \leqslant n$. *Then for any* $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}$ *we have that* $I(Df,F) = 0$.

5 Hypergeometric solutions of the *qKZ* equation

For any subset $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ define a vector $v_M \in (V^{\otimes n})_{\# M}$ by the rule: $v_M = v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_n}$ where $\varepsilon_j = +$ for $j \notin M$ and $\varepsilon_j = -$ for $j \in M$. For any $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\otimes \ell}$ we set

$$\Psi_W \,=\, \sum_{\# M = \ell} I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)\, v_M\,. \tag{5.1}$$

It is clear that $\Psi_{\mathrm{Asym}\, W} = \ell!\, \Psi_W$. By Lemma 4.2 $\Psi_W = 0$ if $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes \mathbb{C}1$, or $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes \mathbb{C}\Theta$, n is even and $2\ell \leqslant n$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let* $\alpha = 1$. *Then* $\Psi_W \in (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\mathit{sing}}$ *for any* $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\otimes \ell}$.

The statement follows from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.3.

Let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\ell}$ be the space of meromorphic functions $W(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ with the properties:

a) $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathit{ell}}^{\otimes \ell}$ as a function of $t_1, \ldots, t_\ell$ for any given $z_1, \ldots, z_n$;

b) $W(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell; z_1, \ldots, p z_j, \ldots, z_n) \,=\, q^{-\ell}\, W(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ for any j.

Theorem 5.2 *For any* $W \in \widehat{\mathscr{F}}_{ell}^{\,\ell}$ *the function* $\Psi_W(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ *is a solution of the qKZ equation* (2.1) *with* $p = q^4$ *and* $\kappa = \alpha\, q^{2\ell-2-n}$ *taking values in* $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$.

$\Psi_W(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ is called a *hypergeometric solution* of the *qKZ* equation.

Theorem 5.2 follows from the results on formal integral representations for solutions of the *qKZ* equation [V] and Proposition 4.3.

Take another basis in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$: $\tilde v_M = \sum\limits_{N \geqslant M} v_N \operatorname{Res} w_N(\hat z_M)$, $\#M = \ell$. Then we have

$$\Psi_W \,=\, \sum_{\#M=\ell} I^{\otimes \ell}(\tilde w_M, W)\, \tilde v_M\,.$$

For $W(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) = W_1(t_1) \ldots W_\ell(t_\ell)$, $W_1, \ldots, W_\ell \in \widehat{\mathscr{F}}_{ell}$, the last formula can be written in the determinant form:

$$\Psi_W \,=\, \sum_{\#M=\ell} \det\bigl[I(\mu_M^{(m_a)}, W_b)\bigr]_{a,b=1}^{\ell}\, \tilde v_M\,. \tag{5.2}$$

From now on until the end of the section we assume that $\alpha = 1$, so that $\kappa = q^{2\ell-2-n}$. In this special case Ψ_W can be also written via suitable polynomials rather than rational functions. For any $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ set

$$P_M^+(t) \,=\, \prod_{m \in M} (q^{-4}t - z_m)\,, \qquad P_M^-(t) \,=\, \prod_{k \notin M} (q^{-4}t - z_k)\,.$$

Denote by T_{q^2} the following operator: $T_{q^2} f(t) = f(t) - f(q^2 t)$. For any rational function $f(t)$ let $[f(t)]_+$ be its polynomial part. Define polynomials $Q_M^{(1)}, \ldots, Q_M^{(\ell)}$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} Q_M^{(a)}(t) \,=\, & q^{4a} P_M^-(t) \Bigl[T_{q^2}\Bigl(\frac{P_M^+(t)}{t^a}\Bigr)\Bigr]_+ + \\ & + q^{2a} P_M^+(q^2t) \Bigl[T_{q^2}\Bigl(\frac{P_M^-(t)}{P_M^+(q^2t)}\Bigl[\frac{P_M^+(q^2t)}{t^a}\Bigr]_+\Bigr)\Bigr]_+ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The polynomial $Q_M^{(a)}(t)$ essentially coincides with the polynomial $A_{\ell+1-a}^{(\ell,n-\ell)}(t|\hat z_M|\hat z_{\overline{M}})$ from [JKMO]. Here $\overline{M} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus M$ and $\hat z_{\overline{M}}$ is defined similarly to $\hat z_M$.

Proposition 5.3 *For any* $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\#M = \ell$, *and any* $m \in M$ *the following identity holds*:

$$D\Bigl(\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq m}}^{n} (q^{-4}t - z_k)\Bigr) \,=\, -\, z_m^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (q^{-2}z_m - z_k)\, \mu_M^{(m)}(t) \,+ \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} Q_M^{(a)}(t)\, z_m^{a-1}\,.$$

Set

$$\bar v_M \,=\, \prod_{1 \leqslant a < b \leqslant \ell} \frac{z_{m_a} - z_{m_b}}{(q^{-2}z_{m_a} - z_{m_b})\,(z_{m_a} - q^{-2}z_{m_b})}\, \tilde v_M\,,$$

which provides that $\bar v_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}} - q^{\ell(\ell-2)/2}\, v_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}$ is a linear combination of vectors v_M with $M \neq M_{\mathrm{ext}}$, $\#M = \ell$. Then by the last Proposition and Lemma 4.3 the formula (5.2) transforms to

$$\Psi_W \,=\, (q^{-2}-1)^{-\ell} \sum_{\#M=\ell}\ \prod_{\substack{k\notin M\\ m\in M}} \frac{1}{q^{-2}z_m - z_k}\ \det\bigl[I(Q_M^{(a)},W_b)\bigr]_{a,b=1}^{\ell}\,\bar v_M \tag{5.4}$$

provided that $W_1,\dots,W_\ell \in \mathcal{F}_{ell}$. This formula matches Theorem 4.5 in [JKMO].

Observe that if Q is a polynomial and $W\in\mathcal{F}_{ell}$, then the integrand of the integral $I(Q,W)$ has no poles at points z_j, $p^{-1}z_j$, pq^2z_j for any $j=1,\dots,n$, and therefore, we have that

$$I(Q,W) \,=\, \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{C'} \phi\, Q\, W\,\frac{dt}{t}$$

for any contour C' separating the sets $\bigcup_0^+$ and $\bigcup_{-1}^-$. For instance, if $|z_1|=\dots=|z_n|=1$, then one can take C' to be a circle $|t|=q^3$.

From now on let $2\ell=n$, and recall that $\alpha=1$. For any $F\in\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{ell}$ define its *discrepancy* $\langle F\rangle$ by the rule: $\langle F\rangle\,\Theta(t) = F(t)-F(pt)$. For any subset M set $\xi_M = DP_M^-$. There are two important relations involving ξ_M:

$$\xi_M(t) \,=\, \sum_{m\in M} \mu_M^{(m)}(t)\, z_m^{-1}\operatorname{res}\xi_M(z_m)$$

and $I(\xi_M,F) = -\,q^{-4\ell}\langle F\rangle$ for any $F\in\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{ell}$. Using the former equality to replace $\mu_M^{(m_\ell)}$ by ξ_M in (5.2) and integrating the terms with ξ_M according to the latter one, we obtain

$$\Psi_W \,=\, \langle W_\ell\rangle \sum_{\#M=\ell} z_{m_\ell}\,\frac{\prod\limits_{a=1}^{\ell-1}(z_{m_\ell}-z_{m_a})}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{n}(z_{m_\ell}-q^2z_k)}\ \det\bigl[I(\mu_M^{(m_a)},W_b)\bigr]_{a,b=1}^{\ell-1}\,\tilde v_M$$

provided that $W_1,\dots,W_{\ell-1}\in\mathcal{F}_{ell}$. In the case $2\ell=n$ formula (5.3) for the polynomials $Q_M^{(a)}$ simplifies:

$$Q_M^{(a)}(t) \,=\, q^{4a}P_M^-(t)\,\bigl[T_{q^2}\bigl(t^{-a}P_M^+(t)\bigr)\bigr]_+ + q^{2a}P_M^+(q^2t)\,\bigl[T_{q^2}\bigl(t^{-a}P_M^-(t)\bigr)\bigr]_+\,.$$

Moreover, the polynomial $Q_M^{(\ell)}$ vanishes identically. Finally, we get an analogue of formula (5.4):

$$\Psi_W \,=\, (q^2-q^4)^{-\ell}\,\langle W_\ell\rangle \sum_{\#M=\ell}\ \prod_{\substack{k\notin M\\ m\in M}} \frac{1}{q^{-2}z_m - z_k}\ \det\bigl[I(Q_M^{(a)},W_b)\bigr]_{a,b=1}^{\ell-1}\,\bar v_M\,. \tag{5.5}$$

matching the modification (4.17) to Theorem 4.5 in [JKMO].

References

[JKMO] M. Jimbo, T. Kojima, T. Miwa and Y.-H. Quano: *Smirnov's integrals and the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation of level* 0, J. Phys. A **27** (1994) no. 9, 3267−3283.

[NPT] A. Nakayashiki, S. Pakuliak and V. Tarasov: *On solutions of the KZ and qKZ equations at level zero*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **71** (1999) no. 4, 459−496

[S] F. Smirnov: *Form factors in completely integrable field theories*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[T] V. Tarasov: *Completeness of the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation at level zero*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **201** (2000), 309−321.

[TV] V. Tarasov and A. Varchenko: *Geometry of q-hypergeometric functions, quantum affine algebras and elliptic quantum groups*, Astérisque **246** (1997) 1−135.

[V] A. Varchenko: *Quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and difference equations for q-hypergeometric functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 499−528.